in the Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) production; and
(b) The quantity and value of your firm’s(s’) exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) exports.
(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country after 1999, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.
(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 23, 2005. Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 05–10883 Filed 5–31–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated February 9, 2005, and published in the Federal Register on February 15, 2005, (70 FR 7760), Clarient LSM (Missouri) Inc., 2460 W. Bennett Street, Springfield, Missouri 65807–1229, (Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1246, Springfield, Missouri 65801) made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of Methylphenidate (1724), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substance in bulk for research purposes.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Clarient LSM (Missouri) Inc. to manufacture the listed basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Clarient LSM (Missouri) Inc. to ensure that the company’s registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company’s physical security systems, verification of the company’s compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company’s background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.


William J. Walker, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration. [FR Doc. 05–10787 Filed 5–31–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Parole Commission


DATE AND TIME: 10:30 a.m., Thursday, June 2, 2005.
PLACE: U.S. Parole Commission, 5550 Friendship Boulevard, 4th Floor, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815.
STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The following matters have been placed on the agenda for the open Parole Commission meeting:

1. Approval of Minutes of Previous Commission Meeting.
2. Reports from the Chairman, Commissioners, Legal, Chief of Staff, Case Operations, and Administrative Sections.

AGENCY CONTACT: Thomas W. Hutchison, Chief of Staff, United States Parole Commission, (301) 492–5990.

Dated: May 26, 2005. Rockne Chickinell, General Counsel. [FR Doc. 05–10944 Filed 5–27–05; 10:40 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–31–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA); Prisoner Re-Entry Initiative

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice; additional information and correction.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration published a document in the Federal Register on April 1, 2005, concerning the availability of grant funds for eligible faith-based and community organizations under the Prisoner Re-Entry Initiative: SGA/DFA PY–04–08. This is to make the following clarifications and corrections to SGA/DFA PY–04–08:

1. The SGA intended that Workforce Investment Boards (WIBs) would have a...
supportive role in this project rather than be the lead agency, and this is to provide clarification that WIBs are not eligible applicants for this SGA. Further, the requirement for a letter of support from the WIB is intended simply to demonstrate that the WIB will play a supportive role in the project by providing employment services for released prisoners in One-Stop Centers.

DOL encourages WIBs to work with any faith-based or community organization (FBCO) that wishes to apply for these grants. FBCOs who have not received a letter from the WIB may instead demonstrate in its proposal the steps that they have taken to secure such a letter.

2. Page 16855 of the SGA indicates that applicants should limit the share of funds for program administration, including technical assistance and oversight, to 10 percent of the amount for which they are applying. We are modifying this to 15 percent of the amount for which you are applying.

3. Page 16859 of the SGA indicates that an FBCO can be listed as a sub-grantee in more than one application, but that they will only be able to receive a sub-grant award from one grant. This was too restrictive and there are instances in which it would be appropriate for an FBCO or other organization to be awarded a sub-grant in two or more grants. The SGA is now modified to allow an FBCO or other organization to receive a sub-grant award in more than one grant.

4. Page 16860 of the SGA indicates that applicants may apply online at http://www.grants.gov. The mandatory form SF 424A has just been added for download. If you have previously downloaded the application package, please download the package again. If you do not download the package with the SF 424A, Grants.gov will reject your application submission with errors.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marsha Daniels, Grants Management Specialist, Division of Federal Assistance, on (202) 693-3504.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of May, 2005.
Eric D. Luetkenhaus,
Grant Officer.

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration

Program Year (PY) 2005 Wagner-Peyser Act Final Planning Allotments

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice announces PY 2005 final planning allotments for PY 2005 (July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006) for basic labor exchange activities provided under the Wagner-Peyser Act.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to Anthony D. Dais, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S–4231, Washington, DC 20210; or transmit via fax 202–693–3015 (this is not a toll-free number).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Anthony D. Dais, at phone number (202) 693–2784 (this is not a toll free number) or E-mail address: dais.anthony@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with section 6(b)(5) of the Wagner-Peyser Act, 29 U.S.C. 49e(b)(5), the Employment and Training Administration is publishing final planning allotments for each state for PY 2005 (July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006). Preliminary planning estimates were published in Federal Register Volume 70, No. 57, page 15515 on March 25, 2005. Funds are distributed in accordance with formula criteria established in section 6(a) and (b) of the Wagner-Peyser Act. Civilian labor force (CLF) and unemployment data for Calendar Year 2004 are used in making the formula calculations.

The total amount of funds currently available for distribution is $746,301,440. The Secretary of Labor shall set aside up to 3 percent of the total available funds to assure that each state will have sufficient resources to maintain statewide One-Stop Career Centers’ labor exchange activities, as required by section 6(b)(4) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. 49e(b)(4). In accordance with this provision, $21,849,043 is set aside for administrative formula allocation. These funds are included in the total planning allotment. The funds that are set aside are distributed in two steps to states, which have lost in relative share of resources from the prior year. In Step 1, states which have a CLF below one million and are below the median CLF density are maintained at 100 percent of their relative share of prior year resources. The remainder is distributed in Step 2 to all other states losing in relative share from the prior year, but which do not meet the size and density criteria for Step 1.

Postage costs incurred by states during the conduct of the Wagner-Peyser funded labor exchange activities are billed directly to the Department of Labor by the U.S. Postal Service. The total final planning allotment reflects $18,000,000, or 2.1 percent of the total amount available, withheld from distribution to finance postage costs. Pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. 49f(b), ten percent of the total sums allotted to each state shall be reserved for use by the Governor to provide performance incentives for One-Stop Career Centers and programs; services for groups with special needs; and for the extra costs of exemplary models for delivering job services.

Differences between preliminary planning estimates and final planning allotments are caused by the use of calendar year 2004 data as opposed to the earlier data (12 months ending September 2004) used for preliminary planning estimates.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 18th day of May, 2005.

Emily Stover DeRocco,
Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P