

Allocation Formula Factors

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and ASU Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2000

	Civilian Labor Force Share	ASU Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4822%	0.0000%	-0.4822%	-100.0000%	2.8%
2 Iowa	1.0994%	0.0356%	-1.0638%	-96.7619%	2.5%
3 Vermont	0.2332%	0.0305%	-0.2027%	-86.9211%	2.9%
4 Colorado	1.6006%	0.2165%	-1.3841%	-86.4738%	2.8%
5 Delaware	0.2877%	0.0447%	-0.2430%	-84.4630%	3.7%
6 Utah	0.7767%	0.1323%	-0.6444%	-82.9664%	3.4%
7 Nebraska	0.6501%	0.1125%	-0.5376%	-82.6950%	2.9%
8 South Dakota	0.2822%	0.0501%	-0.2321%	-82.2466%	2.4%
9 Connecticut	1.2284%	0.2430%	-0.9854%	-80.2182%	2.6%
10 Massachusetts	2.2765%	0.5518%	-1.7247%	-75.7610%	3.0%
11 Minnesota	1.9263%	0.4792%	-1.4471%	-75.1233%	3.0%
12 North Dakota	0.2383%	0.0613%	-0.1770%	-74.2761%	3.2%
13 Oklahoma	1.1592%	0.3191%	-0.8401%	-72.4724%	3.1%
14 Missouri	2.0608%	0.5938%	-1.4670%	-71.1859%	3.2%
15 Kansas	0.9925%	0.3000%	-0.6925%	-69.7733%	3.2%
18 Rhode Island	0.3551%	0.1341%	-0.2210%	-62.2360%	4.1%
28 Maine	0.4845%	0.3668%	-0.1177%	-24.2931%	3.8%

50 State Correlation Coefficient 0.922

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and ASU Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2001

		ASU			
	Civilian Labor	Unemployment	Absolute	Relative	Unemployment
	Force Share	Share	Difference	Difference	Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4812%	0.0000%	-0.4812%	-100.0000%	2.9%
2 Iowa	1.1095%	0.0683%	-1.0412%	-93.8439%	2.9%
3 Connecticut	1.2002%	0.1175%	-1.0827%	-90.2101%	2.5%
4 South Dakota	0.2831%	0.0392%	-0.2439%	-86.1512%	2.9%
5 Colorado	1.6036%	0.2372%	-1.3664%	-85.2080%	2.9%
6 North Dakota	0.2367%	0.0405%	-0.1962%	-82.8909%	2.9%
7 Vermont	0.2339%	0.0415%	-0.1924%	-82.2551%	3.2%
8 Oklahoma	1.1637%	0.2210%	-0.9427%	-81.0093%	3.3%
9 Nebraska	0.6487%	0.1314%	-0.5173%	-79.7426%	3.0%
10 Massachusetts	2.2945%	0.4701%	-1.8244%	-79.5120%	2.9%
11 Delaware	0.2927%	0.0661%	-0.2266%	-77.4135%	3.7%
12 Utah	0.7794%	0.2045%	-0.5749%	-73.7611%	3.6%
13 Wyoming	0.1895%	0.0512%	-0.1383%	-72.9881%	3.9%
14 Virginia	2.5682%	0.7227%	-1.8455%	-71.8593%	2.5%
15 Indiana	2.1706%	0.7325%	-1.4381%	-66.2537%	3.5%
16 Maine	0.4779%	0.1884%	-0.2895%	-60.5762%	3.5%
22 Rhode Island	0.3519%	0.2019%	-0.1500%	-42.6208%	4.4%

50 State Correlation Coefficient 0.914

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and ASU Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2002

	Civilian Labor Force Share	ASU Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 Delaware	0.2885%	0.0395%	-0.2490%	-86.3137%	3.8%
2 North Dakota	0.2359%	0.0335%	-0.2023%	-85.7841%	3.5%
3 New Hampshire	0.4810%	0.0719%	-0.4091%	-85.0528%	4.3%
4 Iowa	1.1365%	0.1724%	-0.9641%	-84.8306%	3.7%
5 Nebraska	0.6538%	0.1135%	-0.5403%	-82.6412%	3.4%
6 South Dakota	0.2869%	0.0539%	-0.2330%	-81.2144%	3.4%
7 Wyoming	0.1842%	0.0477%	-0.1365%	-74.1027%	4.1%
8 Connecticut	1.2084%	0.3231%	-0.8854%	-73.2666%	3.9%
9 Minnesota	1.9889%	0.6372%	-1.3517%	-67.9606%	4.2%
10 Massachusetts	2.3763%	0.8848%	-1.4915%	-62.7664%	4.7%
11 Vermont	0.2377%	0.0951%	-0.1426%	-59.9895%	3.7%
12 Oklahoma	1.1540%	0.5326%	-0.6214%	-53.8481%	4.3%
13 Maine	0.4677%	0.2381%	-0.2296%	-49.0910%	4.2%
14 Georgia	2.9256%	1.5258%	-1.3998%	-47.8469%	4.7%
15 Kansas	0.9640%	0.5147%	-0.4492%	-46.6043%	4.7%
19 Rhode Island	0.3793%	0.2059%	-0.1734%	-45.7146%	4.8%

50 State Correlation Coefficient 0.938

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Economically Disadvantaged Adult Share Allocation
Factors for WIA Adult Allotments, 2000

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Economically Disadvantaged share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4822%	0.2110%	-0.2712%	-56.2422%	2.8%
2 Connecticut	1.2284%	0.5730%	-0.6554%	-53.3540%	2.6%
3 Delaware	0.2877%	0.1560%	-0.1317%	-45.7769%	3.7%
4 Massachusetts	2.2765%	1.3520%	-0.9245%	-40.6106%	3.0%
5 Nevada	0.6936%	0.4290%	-0.2646%	-38.1488%	4.2%
6 Maryland	1.9729%	1.2550%	-0.7179%	-36.3881%	3.6%
7 Utah	0.7767%	0.5050%	-0.2717%	-34.9813%	3.4%
8 New Jersey	2.9457%	1.9280%	-1.0177%	-34.5487%	4.1%
9 Rhode Island	0.3551%	0.2390%	-0.1161%	-32.6950%	4.1%
10 Colorado	1.6006%	1.1220%	-0.4786%	-29.9013%	2.8%
11 Nebraska	0.6501%	0.4600%	-0.1901%	-29.2417%	2.9%
12 Virginia	2.5390%	1.7980%	-0.7410%	-29.1847%	2.4%
13 Vermont	0.2332%	0.1670%	-0.0662%	-28.3877%	2.9%
14 Wisconsin	2.0644%	1.4980%	-0.5664%	-27.4365%	3.2%
15 Maine	0.4845%	0.3540%	-0.1305%	-26.9350%	3.8%

50 State Correlation Coefficient

0.594

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Economically Disadvantaged Adult Share Allocation
Factors for WIA Adult Allotments, 2001

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Economically Disadvantaged share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4812%	0.2110%	-0.2702%	-56.1516%	2.9%
2 Connecticut	1.2002%	0.5730%	-0.6272%	-52.2584%	2.5%
3 Delaware	0.2927%	0.1560%	-0.1367%	-46.6944%	3.7%
4 Massachusetts	2.2945%	1.3520%	-0.9425%	-41.0767%	2.9%
5 Nevada	0.7152%	0.4290%	-0.2862%	-40.0141%	4.5%
6 Maryland	1.9827%	1.2550%	-0.7277%	-36.7016%	3.9%
7 Utah	0.7794%	0.5050%	-0.2744%	-35.2047%	3.6%
8 New Jersey	2.9204%	1.9280%	-0.9924%	-33.9820%	3.7%
9 Rhode Island	0.3519%	0.2390%	-0.1129%	-32.0771%	4.4%
10 Colorado	1.6036%	1.1220%	-0.4816%	-30.0311%	2.9%
11 Virginia	2.5682%	1.7980%	-0.7702%	-29.9890%	2.5%
12 Nebraska	0.6487%	0.4600%	-0.1887%	-29.0838%	3.0%
13 Vermont	0.2339%	0.1670%	-0.0669%	-28.5928%	3.2%
14 Wisconsin	2.0897%	1.4980%	-0.5917%	-28.3145%	4.0%
15 Maine	0.4779%	0.3540%	-0.1239%	-25.9234%	3.5%

50 State Correlation Coefficient

0.586

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Economically Disadvantaged Adult Share Allocation
Factors for WIA Adult Allotments, 2002

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Economically Disadvantaged share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4810%	0.2110%	-0.2700%	-56.1288%	4.3%
2 Connecticut	1.2084%	0.5730%	-0.6354%	-52.5826%	3.9%
3 Delaware	0.2885%	0.1560%	-0.1325%	-45.9224%	3.8%
4 Nevada	0.7645%	0.4290%	-0.3355%	-43.8860%	5.9%
5 Massachusetts	2.3763%	1.3520%	-1.0243%	-43.1046%	4.7%
6 Utah	0.8043%	0.5050%	-0.2993%	-37.2112%	5.5%
7 Rhode Island	0.3793%	0.2390%	-0.1403%	-36.9843%	4.8%
8 Maryland	1.9754%	1.2550%	-0.7204%	-36.4697%	4.3%
9 New Jersey	2.9770%	1.9280%	-1.0490%	-35.2379%	5.2%
10 Colorado	1.6613%	1.1220%	-0.5393%	-32.4634%	5.1%
11 Vermont	0.2377%	0.1670%	-0.0707%	-29.7304%	3.7%
12 Nebraska	0.6538%	0.4600%	-0.1938%	-29.6415%	3.4%
13 Virginia	2.5460%	1.7980%	-0.7480%	-29.3798%	4.1%
14 Wisconsin	2.0636%	1.4980%	-0.5656%	-27.4077%	5.1%
15 Iowa	1.1365%	0.8370%	-0.2995%	-26.3547%	3.7%
16 Maine	0.4677%	0.3540%	-0.1137%	-24.3070%	4.2%

50 State Correlation Coefficient

0.343

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Excess Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2000

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Excess Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4822%	0.0000%	-0.4822%	-100.0000%	2.8%
2 Iowa	1.0994%	0.0360%	-1.0634%	-96.7255%	2.5%
3 Vermont	0.2332%	0.0295%	-0.2037%	-87.3499%	2.9%
4 Colorado	1.6006%	0.2120%	-1.3886%	-86.7550%	2.8%
5 Delaware	0.2877%	0.0448%	-0.2429%	-84.4282%	3.7%
6 Nebraska	0.6501%	0.1098%	-0.5403%	-83.1103%	2.9%
7 Utah	0.7767%	0.1322%	-0.6445%	-82.9793%	3.4%
8 South Dakota	0.2822%	0.0484%	-0.2338%	-82.8490%	2.4%
9 Connecticut	1.2284%	0.2402%	-0.9882%	-80.4461%	2.6%
10 Massachusetts	2.2765%	0.5343%	-1.7422%	-76.5298%	3.0%
11 Minnesota	1.9263%	0.4624%	-1.4639%	-75.9954%	3.0%
12 North Dakota	0.2383%	0.0598%	-0.1785%	-74.9056%	3.2%
13 Oklahoma	1.1592%	0.3116%	-0.8476%	-73.1194%	3.1%
14 Missouri	2.0608%	0.5730%	-1.4878%	-72.1953%	3.2%
15 Kansas	0.9925%	0.3036%	-0.6889%	-69.4106%	3.2%
18 Rhode Island	0.3551%	0.1306%	-0.2245%	-63.2216%	4.1%
28 Maine	0.4845%	0.3562%	-0.1283%	-26.4809%	3.8%

50 State Correlation Coefficient

0.924

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Excess Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2001

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Excess Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 New Hampshire	0.4812%	0.0000%	-0.4812%	-100.0000%	2.9%
2 Iowa	1.1095%	0.0714%	-1.0381%	-93.5645%	2.9%
3 Connecticut	1.2002%	0.1168%	-1.0834%	-90.2684%	2.5%
4 South Dakota	0.2831%	0.0395%	-0.2436%	-86.0452%	2.9%
5 Colorado	1.6036%	0.2340%	-1.3696%	-85.4076%	2.9%
6 North Dakota	0.2367%	0.0392%	-0.1975%	-83.4401%	2.9%
7 Vermont	0.2339%	0.0416%	-0.1923%	-82.2123%	3.2%
8 Oklahoma	1.1637%	0.2186%	-0.9451%	-81.2155%	3.3%
9 Nebraska	0.6487%	0.1268%	-0.5219%	-80.4518%	3.0%
10 Massachusetts	2.2945%	0.4586%	-1.8359%	-80.0132%	2.9%
11 Delaware	0.2927%	0.0652%	-0.2275%	-77.7210%	3.7%
12 Utah	0.7794%	0.2030%	-0.5764%	-73.9536%	3.6%
13 Virginia	2.5682%	0.6983%	-1.8699%	-72.8094%	2.5%
14 Wyoming	0.1895%	0.0576%	-0.1319%	-69.6116%	3.9%
15 Indiana	2.1706%	0.7161%	-1.4545%	-67.0092%	3.5%
16 Maine	0.4779%	0.1826%	-0.2953%	-61.7899%	3.5%
21 Rhode Island	0.3519%	0.1961%	-0.1558%	-44.2691%	4.4%

50 State Correlation Coefficient 0.918

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Excess Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2002

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Excess Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
1 Delaware	0.2885%	0.0388%	-0.2497%	-86.5466%	3.8%
2 North Dakota	0.2359%	0.0325%	-0.2033%	-86.2077%	3.5%
3 New Hampshire	0.4810%	0.0686%	-0.4124%	-85.7364%	4.3%
4 Iowa	1.1365%	0.1765%	-0.9601%	-84.4737%	3.7%
5 Nebraska	0.6538%	0.1088%	-0.5450%	-83.3654%	3.4%
6 South Dakota	0.2869%	0.0524%	-0.2345%	-81.7327%	3.4%
7 Wyoming	0.1842%	0.0458%	-0.1384%	-75.1480%	4.1%
8 Connecticut	1.2084%	0.3162%	-0.8923%	-73.8373%	3.9%
9 Minnesota	1.9889%	0.6122%	-1.3767%	-69.2179%	4.2%
10 Massachusetts	2.3763%	0.8482%	-1.5281%	-64.3045%	4.7%
11 Vermont	0.2377%	0.0911%	-0.1466%	-61.6798%	3.7%
12 Oklahoma	1.1540%	0.5131%	-0.6409%	-55.5394%	4.3%
13 Maine	0.4677%	0.2275%	-0.2402%	-51.3499%	4.2%
14 Georgia	2.9256%	1.4693%	-1.4563%	-49.7788%	4.7%
15 Kansas	0.9640%	0.4916%	-0.4724%	-49.0052%	4.7%
17 Rhode Island	0.3793%	0.1961%	-0.1831%	-48.2850%	4.8%

50 State Correlation Coefficient

0.945

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and ASU Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for
WIA Adult Allotments, 2001

	Civilian Labor Force Share	ASU Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	4.1%
Region 7	4.8028%	1.0419%	-3.7609%	-78.3064%	3.0%
Region 1	5.0599%	1.3262%	-3.7337%	-73.7900%	3.0%
Region 8	3.4226%	0.9188%	-2.5038%	-73.1549%	3.2%
Region 3	9.7763%	6.5454%	-3.2309%	-33.0483%	3.8%
Region 4	18.1950%	14.8931%	-3.3019%	-18.1473%	3.9%
Region 5	18.4012%	15.3758%	-3.0254%	-16.4413%	3.7%
Region 6	11.3055%	14.7697%	3.4642%	30.6417%	4.4%
Region 10	4.0992%	5.3682%	1.2690%	30.9573%	5.1%
Region 2	9.2347%	12.1738%	2.9391%	31.8267%	4.6%
Region 9	14.7845%	23.5917%	8.8072%	59.5705%	4.9%

Correlation Coefficient

0.966

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and ASU Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2002

	Civilian Labor Force Share	ASU Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	4.2%
Region 1	5.0395%	1.0194%	-4.0201%	-79.7720%	3.0%
Region 8	3.4173%	0.9321%	-2.4852%	-72.7244%	3.3%
Region 7	4.7987%	2.1484%	-2.6503%	-55.2298%	3.7%
Region 3	9.8632%	7.5738%	-2.2894%	-23.2117%	3.8%
Region 4	18.1523%	15.7557%	-2.3966%	-13.2030%	4.2%
Region 5	18.3718%	18.4163%	0.0445%	0.2420%	4.1%
Region 2	9.0917%	9.3372%	0.2455%	2.7007%	4.2%
Region 6	11.3498%	12.9042%	1.5544%	13.6952%	4.4%
Region 9	14.9610%	22.1760%	7.2150%	48.2258%	4.7%
Region 10	4.0483%	6.0672%	2.0189%	49.8691%	5.5%

Correlation Coefficient

0.955

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and ASU Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for WIA
Adult Allotments, 2003

	Civilian Labor Force Share	ASU Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	5.5%
Region 1	5.1503%	1.8188%	-3.3315%	-64.6856%	4.4%
Region 8	3.4888%	1.7830%	-1.7058%	-48.8935%	4.9%
Region 7	4.7922%	2.4606%	-2.3315%	-48.6531%	4.5%
Region 3	9.8524%	6.8931%	-2.9593%	-30.0364%	4.8%
Region 4	18.2736%	17.9205%	-0.3531%	-1.9326%	5.5%
Region 5	17.9447%	18.6164%	0.6717%	3.7434%	5.4%
Region 2	9.3583%	9.8631%	0.5048%	5.3940%	5.5%
Region 6	11.3233%	12.5146%	1.1913%	10.5207%	5.6%
Region 9	14.8452%	19.5024%	4.6572%	31.3716%	6.1%
Region 10	4.0470%	6.0964%	2.0494%	50.6389%	7.0%

Correlation Coefficient

0.959

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Economically Disadvantaged Adult Share Allocation
Factors for WIA Adult Allotments, 2001

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Economically Disadvantaged share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	4.1%
Region 1	5.0599%	2.8960%	-2.1639%	-42.7657%	3.0%
Region 10	4.0992%	3.2960%	-0.8032%	-19.5941%	5.1%
Region 8	3.4226%	2.8090%	-0.6136%	-17.9279%	3.2%
Region 7	4.8028%	3.9440%	-0.8588%	-17.8812%	3.0%
Region 5	18.4012%	15.7630%	-2.6382%	-14.3371%	3.7%
Region 3	9.7763%	8.5990%	-1.1773%	-12.0424%	3.8%
Region 9	14.7845%	14.1150%	-0.6695%	-4.5284%	4.9%
Region 2	9.2347%	9.3940%	0.1593%	1.7250%	4.6%
Region 4	18.1950%	19.1990%	1.0040%	5.5180%	3.9%
Region 6	11.3055%	14.2420%	2.9365%	25.9741%	4.4%

Correlation Coefficient

0.508

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Economically Disadvantaged Adult Share Allocation
Factors for WIA Adult Allotments, 2002

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Economically Disadvantaged share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	4.2%
Region 1	5.0395%	2.8960%	-2.1435%	-42.5345%	3.0%
Region 10	4.0483%	3.2960%	-0.7523%	-18.5838%	5.5%
Region 7	4.7987%	3.9440%	-0.8547%	-17.8115%	3.7%
Region 8	3.4173%	2.8090%	-0.6083%	-17.8017%	3.3%
Region 5	18.3718%	15.7630%	-2.6088%	-14.2002%	4.1%
Region 3	9.8632%	8.5990%	-1.2642%	-12.8175%	3.8%
Region 9	14.9610%	14.1150%	-0.8460%	-5.6544%	4.7%
Region 2	9.0917%	9.3940%	0.3023%	3.3254%	4.2%
Region 4	18.1523%	19.1990%	1.0467%	5.7659%	4.2%
Region 6	11.3498%	14.2420%	2.8922%	25.4822%	4.4%

Correlation Coefficient

0.434

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Economically Disadvantaged Adult Share Allocation
Factors for WIA Adult Allotments, 2003

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Economically Disadvantaged share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	5.5%
Region 1	5.1503%	2.8960%	-2.2543%	-43.7699%	4.4%
Region 8	3.4888%	2.8090%	-0.6798%	-19.4846%	4.9%
Region 10	4.0470%	3.2960%	-0.7510%	-18.5574%	7.0%
Region 7	4.7922%	3.9440%	-0.8482%	-17.6991%	4.5%
Region 3	9.8524%	8.5990%	-1.2534%	-12.7213%	4.8%
Region 5	17.9447%	15.7630%	-2.1817%	-12.1579%	5.4%
Region 9	14.8452%	14.1150%	-0.7302%	-4.9187%	6.1%
Region 2	9.3583%	9.3940%	0.0357%	0.3810%	5.5%
Region 4	18.2736%	19.1990%	0.9254%	5.0640%	5.5%
Region 6	11.3233%	14.2420%	2.9187%	25.7764%	5.6%

Correlation Coefficient

0.368

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Excess Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for
WIA Adult Allotments, 2001

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Excess Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	4.1%
Region 7	4.8028%	1.0224%	-3.7804%	-78.7124%	3.0%
Region 1	5.0599%	1.2908%	-3.7691%	-74.4896%	3.0%
Region 8	3.4226%	0.8978%	-2.5248%	-73.7685%	3.2%
Region 3	9.7763%	6.3262%	-3.4501%	-35.2904%	3.8%
Region 4	18.1950%	14.4197%	-3.7753%	-20.7491%	3.9%
Region 5	18.4012%	14.7875%	-3.6137%	-19.6384%	3.7%
Region 6	11.3055%	14.2040%	2.8985%	25.6380%	4.4%
Region 10	4.0992%	5.1717%	1.0725%	26.1636%	5.1%
Region 2	9.2347%	11.7609%	2.5262%	27.3555%	4.6%
Region 9	14.7845%	22.6426%	7.8581%	53.1509%	4.9%

Correlation Coefficient

0.967

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Excess Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for
WIA Adult Allotments, 2002

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Excess Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	4.2%
Region 1	5.0395%	0.9957%	-4.0438%	-80.2423%	3.0%
Region 8	3.4173%	0.9215%	-2.4958%	-73.0346%	3.3%
Region 7	4.7987%	2.1037%	-2.6950%	-56.1613%	3.7%
Region 3	9.8632%	7.3283%	-2.5349%	-25.7007%	3.8%
Region 4	18.1523%	15.3405%	-2.8118%	-15.4903%	4.2%
Region 5	18.3718%	17.8181%	-0.5537%	-3.0140%	4.1%
Region 2	9.0917%	9.0837%	-0.0080%	-0.0876%	4.2%
Region 6	11.3498%	12.4489%	1.0991%	9.6837%	4.4%
Region 9	14.9610%	21.4141%	6.4531%	43.1332%	4.7%
Region 10	4.0483%	5.8785%	1.8302%	45.2080%	5.5%

Correlation Coefficient

0.956

Difference between Civilian Labor Force Share and Excess Unemployment Share Allocation Factors for
WIA Adult Allotments, 2003

	Civilian Labor Force Share	Excess Unemployment Share	Absolute Difference	Relative Difference	Unemployment Rate
Total	100.0000%	100.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	5.5%
Region 1	5.1503%	1.7477%	-3.4025%	-66.0654%	4.4%
Region 8	3.4888%	1.7141%	-1.7746%	-50.8669%	4.9%
Region 7	4.7922%	2.3575%	-2.4347%	-50.8059%	4.5%
Region 3	9.8524%	6.6106%	-3.2418%	-32.9037%	4.8%
Region 4	18.2736%	17.5784%	-0.6952%	-3.8045%	5.5%
Region 2	9.3583%	9.3887%	0.0304%	0.3244%	5.5%
Region 5	17.9447%	18.1461%	0.2014%	1.1226%	5.4%
Region 6	11.3233%	11.9403%	0.6170%	5.4489%	5.6%
Region 9	14.8452%	18.5884%	3.7432%	25.2149%	6.1%
Region 10	4.0470%	6.9399%	2.8929%	71.4820%	7.0%

Correlation Coefficient

0.985