Signed in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of October 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97–29212 Filed 11–4–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

**[TA–W–33,411; TA–W–33,411A]**

### J.R. Simplot Company; Food Group, Caldwell, Idaho and J.R. Simplot Company; Food Division—Grand Rapids Plant, Wyoming, Michigan; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 30, 1997 applicable to all workers of the Food Group of J.R. Simplot Company in Caldwell, Idaho. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 18, 1997 (62 FR 38584).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations are expected to occur on October 31, 1997 at the J.R. Simplot's Food Division-Grand Rapids plant, Wyoming, Michigan. The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of frozen potato products.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers at the subject firm's Food Division-Grand Rapids plant, Wyoming, Michigan location.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of J.R. Simplot Company adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–33, 411 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of the Food Group of J.R. Simplot Company, Caldwell, Idaho (TA–W–33,441), and the Food Division-Grand Rapids Plant, of J.R. Simplot Company, Wyoming, Michigan (TA–W–33,411A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 24, 1996, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of October, 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97–29221 Filed 11–4–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

**[TA–W–32,498]**

### Lucent Technologies, Incorporated, Berg Electronics, Inc., Lee's Summit, Missouri; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on August 20, 1996, applicable to workers of Lucent Technologies, Incorporated located in Lee's Summit, Missouri. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 13, 1996 (610 FR 48504).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Review of the certification shows that the name of the parent company, Berg Electronics, Inc., was inadvertently excluded from the certification. Accordingly, the Department is amending the worker certification to reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–32,498 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Lucent Technologies, Incorporated and Berg Electronics, Inc., Lee's Summit, Missouri, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 19, 1995 through August 20, 1998, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of October 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97–29211 Filed 11–4–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

**[TA–W–33,452]**

### Precision Scientific Division of Jouan Incorporated, Chicago, Illinois, Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated July 9, 1997, one of the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice applicable to workers of the subject firm located in Chicago, Illinois was signed on June 2, 1997 and published in the **Federal Register** on June 27, 1997 (62 FR 34711).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Findings of the initial investigation showed that workers of Precision Scientific of Chicago, Illinois were engaged in employment related to the manufacture of CO–2 incubators, Thelco Ovens, vacuum pumps, and water baths. The Department's denial of TAA for workers of the subject firm was based on the fact that increases of imports of like and directly competitive did not contribute importantly to the worker separations and that the subject firm shifted production performed at the Chicago facility to a new facility in Winchester, Virginia.

The petitioner claims that all equipment used in the production of CO–2 incubators and vacuum pumps at the Chicago facility was not transferred to the Winchester facility but shipped to Europe and that this equipment will be used to manufacture like and directly competitive articles for import into the United States.

The company official reports that the equipment was not shipped to Europe but sold at auction in Chicago on August 7, 1997. The sale was confirmed by the company handling the auction.

Further, the shipment of equipment to another country is not a sufficient reason to conclude that the products