



U.S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration

Grant Management and Monitoring - Discretionary Grantees

*Southern California Training and Technical Assistance Forum
May 27, 2010
Los Angeles, California*



**What is something
you want to know
more about in
today's session?**

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

- Monitoring Overview
- Common Findings
- Tips for Avoiding Findings

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Purpose of Monitoring

- Ensure that the Department of Labor grant funded program is aligned with:
 - Statute/Regulations/Grant terms & conditions
 - Federal financial and administrative requirements including cost principles
 - Grant Solicitation for Grant Application
- Identify promising practices that can be shared
- Identify technical assistance needs

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Monitoring Methods

- Types of Monitoring:
 - Quarterly Performance Reviews
 - Desk Monitoring Reviews
 - On-Site Reviews



COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

On-Site Reviews: Basics

- Who conducts On-Site Reviews?
 - FPO (additional ETA Staff)
- When is the review conducted?
 - Usually midpoint during your grant period of performance
- What is the duration of the review?
 - Usually 2-3 days
- Notification of Review:
 - Letter confirming scheduled date of review and Core Monitoring Guide/Review Planning Tool mailed to Grant Recipient


COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

On-Site Reviews: Tools

DOL ETA uniform guide for monitoring

- Five Core Activities

- Design and governance
- Program / Grant Management Systems
- Financial Management Systems
- Service/product Delivery
- Performance Accountability





COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

On-Site Reviews: Tools

REVIEW PLANNING TOOL U.S. DOL ETA Core Monitoring Review Guide

Grantee: _____

Review Date: _____

FPO: _____

Checked items indicate the activities/objectives that will be included in the monitoring review. To help plan the review, please fill in the names and titles of staff responsible for each activity/objective. Please refer to the Core Monitoring Guide for the detailed description of each core activity and objective.

H

REVIEW ACTIVITIES & OBJECTIVES	DOCUMENTS TO MAKE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW	INTERVIEW	NAME & TITLE
CORE ACTIVITY 1: DESIGN AND GOVERNANCE—2 Hours			
x 1.1 Strategic Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grantee organization's vision, mission, strategy, and action planning documents Labor Market Information (LMI) used by the grantee organization to identify its area's high-growth businesses and industries, their workforce needs, and the need for certain skills and competencies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grantee leadership/management staff Primary staff responsible for LMI analysis Business, industry and employer partners 	
x 1.2 Service Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resource mapping tools Customer satisfaction surveys 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grantee management; staff responsible for providing business and job seeker services 	
x 1.3 Program Integration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policies, memoranda or documentation of program integration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate staff Local Workforce Investment Board, One-stop or other workforce investment partners as appropriate 	
CORE ACTIVITY 2: PROGRAM AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS Estimated Time Needed—3 hours			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.1 Administrative Controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policies and Procedures for core management functions and program operations Monitoring tools and procedures and documentation of monitoring Record retention and access policy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary staff responsible for development and issuance of policy, monitoring, and record retention 	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.2 Personnel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Personnel policy, including hiring process and procedures Grantee organizational chart Project organizational chart 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff responsible for personnel and human resources; other staff as appropriate 	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.3 Civil Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grievance/complaint resolution and non-discrimination policies and procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equal Opportunity officer and/or staff assigned responsibility for ensuring organization's compliance with the EO laws 	

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

On-Site Reviews: Process/Report

- Exit Conference
 - Preliminary findings and observations
- Written Report
 - Findings and required corrective actions
 - Opportunities for improvement
 - Positive observations

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

On-Site Reviews: Definitions

- Definition
 - A finding is any “violation of”
 - Law
 - Regulations
 - Grant agreement
 - SGA requirement

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Common Findings

- The Equal Opportunity (EO) requirements are not being fully implemented:
 - The EO notice does not contain the required wording *29 CFR 37.30* and/or;
 - The EO notice is not posted or provided to participants and made a part of their file and/or;
 - Program brochures/outreach materials do not contain the required wording *29 CFR 37.34*

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Common Findings

- Grant funds were used to purchase equipment without Grant Officer approval
 - Unit cost of \$5000 and useful life of one year
 - Prior approval required even if included in the budget

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Common Findings

- Changes were made to the Statement of Work without Grant Officer approval
 - Change in scope or objective
 - Adding/deleting program component
 - Adding/deleting partner
 - Adding/deleting YB construction worksites
 - Changing target group

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Common Findings

- The Grant Recipient realigned the budget without prior Grant Officer approval
 - Exceeded the 20% line item flexibility requirement
 - Made any changes to Personnel, Fringe or Indirect Cost line items



COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Common Findings

- Subrecipients are not monitored
 - Every program, project, subaward, function and activity must be monitored
 - Need monitoring process, schedule, documentation and corrective action process



COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Common Findings

- Project significantly behind schedule in achieving grant goals/deliverables
 - Grantees must immediately notify DOL of developments which have significant impact on grant activities

COMMON FINDINGS & HOW TO AVOID THEM

Useful Tips

- Knowledge of Requirements
- Ensure Core Monitoring Guide/Review Planning Tool are shared with appropriate staff early on
- Attend Training
- Implement the Common Findings Hand-out
- Ask Questions (contact your FPO)



Any questions?

Thank you for attending!

**Todd Yamamoto, ETA/Region 6, Regional Director, Office of
Special Initiatives & Demonstrations**

415-625-7986 | yamamoto.todd@dol.gov